

Question 1		
24-Jun	25-Jun	02-Jul
20	26	11

Question 2	
Yes	No
53	3

Question 3				
Starter Homes	For downsizing	Affordable homes	Family Homes	Flats/Maisonettes
36	45	26	21	1

Question 4			
Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
28	19	9	1

Question 5			
Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
20	21	14	2

Question 6			
Straight Mile	Village Centre	Broadgate	Chandler's Ford End
1	47	5	8

Question 7	
Agree	Disagree
53	3

Question 8						
Village Hall	Village Green	Allotments	Public/School Parking	Footpaths	Village Shop	Traffic Calming
26	27	26	35	30	32	26

Question 9				
Strongly Agree	Agree	No View	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
15	28	7	3	4

Question 10	
Yes	No
48	8

Comments

Ampfield needs more starter and affordable homes in order to create a more balanced age profile in the community, and so that there will be young families to support the village school. Is it worth considering placing some kind of constraint on the new housing, so that it cannot be enlarged in the future and therefore cease to be the affordable housing originally planned?

Publicise that area of land will be officially registered as 'Village Green' and therefore free of future development.

Just leave village as it is please!

Although this is a very personal consideration, it nevertheless may apply to others, I am in the process of being divorced and my settlement from the proceeds of my property, currently in the village, will not be enough for me to secure any property in Ampfield for my family and myself. We have been extraordinarily happy here for the last 6 years and engaged in the community in many ways. We would desperately love to stay but would need some social housing.

I strongly agree with the development. Without new houses introducing more people into the village the village community will die. The pre-school and school need the children which would give them stability. People born in the community have been outpriced to stay in the community. Older residents who wish to downsize cannot afford to. More affordable housing is needed to bring families into the village.

The number of houses must be kept below 50 but mixed type and size.

It is good to have the change to see proposals. What ever happens we must keep the strategic gap between Ampfield and Chandlers Ford.

A village green is a great idea but what attractions would it have? i.e. Duck pond/play equipment? Ampfield is a sprawling area in desperate need of good all weather footpaths off of main road to enable walkers to move around village safely. i.e dog walkers, children, buggies. If a shop is viable, I am sure it would be a benefit.

Strongly opposed but: 1. access road moved to Keats; 2. Existing main road surface replaced 'quiet' option; 3. Max 15 homes or not in keeping with Parish.

I favour possible development solution #1. and Solution #2 would be my second choice.

Of the schemes presented I prefer #2 although #1 would also work.

The proposals, I feel would enhance Ampfield and help create a 'Village Centre'. At present the Parish consists of a main road, with various arterial roads and that is about it!

I prefer Harley's Green - #2. I'm not keen on the allotments being alongside the road as they are better 'looked after' with housing overlooking the allotments (there have been problems with the allotments near the 'Rapids' in Romsey - very easy access for 'youths' and fly tippers from the road - easy getaway!).

I would like to see the provision of affordable 1 bed housing in the village for young adults. I have 2 adult daughters who work locally and would like to continue living in the village.

I strongly object to this development as I feel too many 'greenfield' sites are being developed.

I do not think the need for housing in the area has been established 0 especially with the new development at Jermyn's Lane/Bellerby Road.

Morleys Green site - important to cap any housing development at 25 houses. Preference for development solution #1. The inclusion of a 'village green' in dev solutions #2 and 3 would contribute to less use of the recreation ground.

I have heard from local residents in Ampfield that a 'mains gas supply' to the village would greatly enhance the prospects of future development. Whilst remaining in keeping with the area, the proposed development should be affordable in that it would attract new starters, families and those people who wish to downsize. It should be placed in the heart of the community to improve the quality of life, give support to the local school and church, and provide income for local businesses.

This proposed development is fine, but steps need to be taken to ensure its original purpose continues into the future.

Improvements on bus links. If the village becomes larger other facilities needed i.e. Doctor/dentist/post office etc. Part-time traffic lights at peak times for entrance to site(s). Would the allotments be on a scheme for Ampfield residents only? Problems could arise with allotments being close to main road. Proposal #2 - allotments need to be further away from housing (other side of village green).

Morleys Green seems a strong option - centrally located and not in an open location. Can add to village, not detract. Just surprised that as largest of the potential sites, it is not being promoted for more than circa 30 dwellings.

Suggested plans are very vague at this stage - would be interested in seeing the final plans before being able to comment on suitability. Need to see different options before being able to compare the options.

the village 'atmosphere' needs to be retained - suitable housing (no flats). We need to encourage younger people to come and stay, also older people to downsize. #1 is the preferred solution as housing is 'hidden' from the road and the village green would be more accessible to the other side of the road (i.e. as though the green is surrounded). Would need suitable pedestrian access across the road to reach green.

No flats please! It would ruin the village feel. I like the idea of a village green, but would only work if the type of housing was right i.e. Retirement homes or small households.

The importance of design and screening. Any development should blend into the local area in respect of colour, bulk and design. Vegetative screening should be planted to ensure the development is not visible from the A3090 or intrusive to residents of Morleys Lane. Development should concentrate on parish needs for young family starter homes, homes for those wishing to downsize and the requirement for affordable housing.

I am particularly interested in traffic calming measures. My main concern, living in Jermyns House is with noise created by traffic passing my house on its way to Romsey via the Straight Mile or Jermyns Lane. The noise is principally generated by tyre noise on the tarmac. If low noise tarmac could be used to resurface those roads, it would ameliorate the problem. I would also like to see a rationalisation of speed limits. Driving from Ampfield to Trimsbury takes you through many changes of speed limit - 30, 40 and 50 mph. I would support a speed limit of 30 in all areas where there is housing. this would also help with the noise issue.

My preference was for the middle of the three layout options shown in the exhibit. But I am very doubtful about the provision of allotments - the 'Good Life' approach is fashionable at the moment, but its durability is doubtful. And it seems to me unlikely that those that clamour for them will maintain their commitment; if not allotments rapidly become eyesores. Given the existence of the (sadly little used) Recreation Ground, I see no need for a further village green - and if created who will manage it? The Parish Council has enough to do already! The villaeg hall could do with some extension to its kitchen and serving facilities, but does not need a major revamp: it works well otherwise. Much more important to the village/parish would be the provision of finance for a proper sports pavillion on the recreation ground (already designed, with planning permission, but no cash!). Some agreement on better public transport (eg for those that want to stay but can no longer drive) is v. important.

Although I am not against new homes in Ampfield, before an development takes place we should wait to see the effect of the Abbotswood development on the traffic in the area. Better road surfaces are in my opinion key to getting the public on side for development so that road noise is kept to a minimum despite the obvious increase in traffic in the area which will occur as a result of this development. A village post office would assist in making a centre for the village - as Woodley post office is closed, the nearest is now in Romsey Town Centre.

I should like to receive a copy of the 'Market Assessment' please.

A negative presentation with no indication as to number and density of proposed housing.

Excellent proposal. Will make more of a centre for the village.

The village hall is unusual in that it is owned by WI (currently the National Association) and not the Parish Council or any other locally answerable group. Why should S106 monies be targetted to this resource? Housing apart, what tangible benefit will such a development bring to the wider community? There is not a proposal to contribute to bring utilities such as gas or mains drainage to teh village. Why not as with four commercial users, Keats, White Horse, Golf Club and Hilliers plus 30+ houses would form the basis of economic cost of provision. The Parish Council owns the recreation ground which desperately needs a pavillion. Why is this not suggested as a suitable target for developer contributions? Pegasus has not, in my opinion, done its homework properly!

The villaeg hall does not belong to the village or Parish so we should not spend more money on this facility but build a new pavillion for the cricketers and footballers on the recreation ground which would belong to the Parish and not the WI or Hursley Estates. Benefits to the wider community could be enhanced by provision of GAS, mains drainage, village shop etc. Gas would be my preferred option as heating homes with electricity is really a non-starter and I, for one, would not buy one of these.

The new parish recreation ground largely removes the need for a 'village green' as part of a development at Morleys Green. I preferred Option #1 for the development of the Morleys Green site as it provided for a belt of open land between the A3090 and the new houses, this preserving the 'green' gaps in development along the A3090 and another on the western side, along Wingham Lane. Both will make the development more attractive and acceptable. Allotments would be a real asset to the village.

[note on Q2 - Displays good but did not speak to anyone] I think that any development planned should be in keeping with the rest of the village to attract families and help keep the school going. We are also much in need of improved footpaths so that we can walk to a village shop etc.

The scale of the land opposite the Potter's Heron puts everything up in the air. The land on the south east side of Knapp Lane should not be built upon but kept as a sports field for the school. The loss of the 2 Knapp Lane sites will lose the strategic gap between Upper Knapp and Lower Knapp. Morley's Green is also a strategic gap and a green must be a prominent feature.

The phrasing of these questions only allows a limited response which could influence the findings in favour of the developers. In my view, Ampfield does not need further development as this is frequently the thin end of the wedge. If development is unavoidable, it should be located closer to Chandlers Ford and away from the village school. on an environmental basis, the development of the brownfield sites (i.e. industrial park) is preferable. Ampfield should retain its small village status.

I would prefer to see a larger village green and no allotments, Allotments potentially become an eyesore if not well maintained. If allotments are included I would prefer to have them sited behind the houses out of sight.

[Note on Q3 - leading question - ticked 'none needed'] [note on Q6 - leading question] Ampfield is a traditional English village with a strong focus for heritage and cultural issues. We do not want our village tinkered with for the purpose of 'social engineering' for the ultimate aim of lining Perbury and Hilliers' pockets at the expense of our quality of life. The Housing Needs Survey is a highly suspect item of market research and its conclusions cannot be the basis of these scales of commercial decision making. leave the centre of our village alone!